JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Durant T. Tisdale			DEFENDANTS City of Phila., P/O Timothy Gibson, P/O David Sherwood				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe htt, Esq., - 1026 Winter	r)	NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC Attorneys (If Known,	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) Aaron Shotland			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
🗂 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		PTF DEF I 1			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	From william a pool		Citizen or Subject of a E Foreign Country	7 3 Foreign Nation			
CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	(V) DTS:	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	ATHER CTATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 7360 Other Personal Injury □ 365 Personal Injury Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Pharmaceutical Injury Personal Injury Pharmaceutical Injury Poduct Liability PERSONAL PROP! 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Other Personal □ 380 Other Personal □ 380 Property Damag □ 385 Property Damag	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit		
		Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY J 370 Other Fraud J 371 Truth in Lending	□ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation	# ** SOCIAU SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	1 490 Cable/Sat TV 2 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 3 890 Other Statutory Actions 3 891 Agricultural Acts 3 893 Environmental Matters 3 895 Freedom of Information Act 4 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		FEDERAL/TAX SUITS	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V ODICIN (V		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement					
	noved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or	er District Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	ec. 1983 use:	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity);			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		Violation under 42 U IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 9/16/15		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	PRINEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE		

Durant T. Tisdale	Civil Action		
4262 N. Franklin Street	NO:		
Philadelphia, PA 19140			
Plaintiff			
V.			
The City of Philadelphia			
Law Department			
1515 Arch Street			
14 th Floor			
Philadelphia, PA 19104 and			
Police Officer Timothy Gibson	Formerly		
Badge#: 4742	Tornierry		
1515 Arch Street	Court of Common Pleas		
14th Floor	Dhiladalahia Camata		

Philadelphia, PA 19104 and Police Officer David Sherwood Badge# 714

1515 Arch Street 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil July Term 2015 No. 000250

Defendants

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, defendants, City of Philadelphia, P/O Timothy Gibson and P/O David Sherwood(hereinafter "petitioners") through their counsel, Aaron Shotland, Assistant City Solicitor, respectfully petition for the removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In support thereof, defendants state the following:

- 1. In July 2015, plaintiff initiated this action by a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia, July 2015, No. 000250. (Exhibit A Complaint).
- 2. On September 3, 2015, said Complaint was served on Petitioners at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

- 3. Plaintiff alleges that on July 5, 2013, he sustained damages when his civil rights were violated by the defendants. (Exhibit A).
- 4. This action may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 since Plaintiff's Complaint contains allegations of violations of the plaintiff's Federal Civil Rights and seeks relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Exhibit A)

Wherefore, petitioners, City of Philadelphia, P/O Timothy Gibson and P/O David Sherwood, respectfully request that the captioned Complaint be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

Craig Straw

Chief Deputy City Solicitor

AARON SHOTLAND
Assistant City Solicitor

Attorney I.D. No. 205916 City of Philadelphia Law Department

1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-683-5434

Date: 9/16/15

Durant T. Tisdale	Civil Action
4262 N. Franklin Street	
Philadelphia, PA 19140	NO:
Plaintiff	

V.

The City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104 and Police Officer Timothy Gibson Badge#: 4742 1515 Arch Street 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104 Police Officer David Sherwood Badge# 714 1515 Arch Street 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104

Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil July Term 2015 No. 000250

Defendants

FILING OF REMOVAL

TO: Michael I. McDermott, Esquire 1026 Winter Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19107

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on September 17, 2015, defendants, City of Philadelphia, P/O Timothy Gibson and P/O David Sherwood filed, in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania a verified Notice of Removal.

A copy of this Notice of Removal is attached hereto and is also being filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1446(e).

AARON SHOTLAND Assistant City Solicitor Attorney I.D. No. 205916

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-683-5434

Durant T. Tisdale				
4262 N. Franklin Street				
Philadelphia, PA 19140				

Civil Action

NO: _____

Plaintiff

V.

The City of Philadelphia
Law Department
1515 Arch Street
14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104
and
Police Officer Timothy Gibson
Badge#: 4742
1515 Arch Street
14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104
and
Police Officer David Sherwood
Badge# 714
1515 Arch Street
14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil July Term 2015 No. 000250

Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Aaron Shotland, Assistant City Solicitor do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Notice of Removal has been served upon the following by First Class Mail, postpaid, on the date indicated below:

TO: Michael I. McDermott, Esquire 1026 Winter Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19107

Aaron Shotland

Assistant City Solicitor

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-683-5434

Date: 9/16/15

Exhibit "A"



Michael I. McDermott, Esquire ID No. 52917 1026 Winter Street Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 925-9732

Attorney for Plaintiff, Durant T. Tisdale



DURANT T. TISDALE 4262 N. Franklin Street Philadelphia, Pa 19140 COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

vs.

THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA LAW DEPARTMENT 1515 Arch Street 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104 and

POLICE OFFICER TIMOTHY GIBSON
Badge #: 4742
1515 Arch Street
14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104

and
POLICE OFFICER DAVID SHERWOOD

Badge #: 714 : JULY TERM, 2015 14TH Floor : NO. 000250 Philadelphia, PA 19104 :

COMPLAINT JURISDICTION

1. This action is brought pursuant to 42 United States Code Section 1983. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 United States Code 1331 and 1343 (1) (3) and (4) and under the common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff further invokes the supplemental jurisdiction under 28 United States Code Section 1376(a) to hear and decide claims under state law.

2. It is alleged that on or about July 6, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia, PA, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood unlawfully, without probable cause and/or a warrant detained, arrested and criminally charged the plaintiff in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Durant T. Tisdale is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at 4262 N. Franklin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104.
- 4. Defendant, City of Philadelphia is a municipality of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and owns, operates, manages, directs and controls with its principal place of business located at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19104.
- 5. Defendant, Police Officer Timothy Gibson Badge #: 4742 is a police officer employed by defendant, City of Philadelphia and was acting at all times under the color of state law, which has its business address at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19104. He is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.
- 6. Defendant, Police Officer David Sherwood, Badge #.: 714 is a police officer employed by defendant, City of Philadelphia and was acting at all times under the color of state law, which has its business address at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19104. He is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.
- 7. All of the acts alleged to have been done, or not to have been done herein were done by the defendants and/or by their agents, employees, servants, and/or workmen acting within the scope of their employment and/or agency by and on behalf of the defendants.

FACTS

- 8. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 9. On or about July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood where allegedly told by a witness that the plaintiff stole a metal railing from 2220 N. Delhi Street, Philadelphia, PA.

- 10. On or about July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood detained and arrested the plaintiff.
- 11. On or about July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood did not have a warrant to arrest the plaintiff.
- 12. On or about July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood did not observe the defendant commit any crime that would constitute a felony, misdemeanor and/or summary.
- 13. On or about July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood did not recover from the plaintiff any evidence of a crime that would constitute a felony, misdemeanor and/or summary.
- 14. On or about July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. at 1000 West Arizona Street, Philadelphia Police Officers Gibson and Sherwood did not recover any evidence from the surrounding area implicating the plaintiff with any crime that would constitute a felony, misdemeanor and/or summary.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the actions or inactions of the defendants, plaintiff was charged with the following crimes; Theft by Unlawful Taking or Disposition, 18 Pa.C.S.A. Section 3921 Subsection A and Receiving Stolen Property, 18 Pa.C.S.A. Section 3925 Subsection A, which were dismissed on November 21, 2013.
- 16. Plaintiff remained in custody until August 8, 2015 when his bail was lowered to ROR, Release on Your Own Recognizance.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' actions, plaintiff was deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 18. The actions and/or inactions of the defendants were done with the defendants' knowledge that the arrest was effectuated without legitimate cause or justification and done intentionally, maliciously and recklessly and in bad faith.

COUNT ONE

41 U.S.C. Section 1983 Against City of Philadelphia

Deliberate Indifference

- 19. Paragraphs 1 through 18 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 20. Prior to July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m., the City of Philadelphia Police Department developed and maintained policies and/or customs exhibiting deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of citizens in the City of Philadelphia, which caused the violation of the Plaintiff's rights.
- 21. It was the policy and/or custom of the Philadelphia Police Department to deliberately disregard and/or ignore and/or avoid detection of acts of police officers against other citizens including the arrest and detention of citizens without probable cause and/or legal justification.
- 22. It was the policy and/or custom of the Philadelphia Police Department to inadequately supervise and train its police officers, including the police officers who arrested the plaintiff in the instant action, thereby failing to adequately discourage further constitutional violations on the part of its police officers. The City of Philadelphia did not require appropriate in-service training or re-training of police officers who were known to have engaged in prior inappropriate behavior.
- 23. It was the policy and/or custom of the City of Philadelphia to inadequately supervise and train its police officers, including the police officers who arrested the plaintiff in the instant action, to intervene against or provide a safe haven against constitution violations and misconduct committed by police officers against citizens.
- 24. As a result of the above described policies and customs, police officers of the Philadelphia Police Department, including the police officers who arrested the plaintiff in the instant action, believed their actions would not be properly monitored by supervisory officers and that misconduct would not be investigated or sanctioned, but would be tolerated.

COUNT TWO

41 U.S.C. Section 1983 Against the City of Philadelphia

Specific Knowledge of the Particular Threat

- 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 26. Prior to July 5, 2013 at approximately 11:00 p.m. the City of Philadelphia Police Department developed and maintained policies and/or customs exhibiting deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of citizens in the City of Philadelphia, which caused the violation of the Plaintiff's rights.
- 27. It was the policy and/or custom of the Philadelphia Police Department to deliberately disregard and/or ignore and/or avoid detection of acts of police officers against citizens including arrests without probable cause and/or legal justification by disregarding notices and/or warnings that such behavior was being exhibited by members of the police department.
- 28. It was the policy and/or custom of the Philadelphia Police Department to inadequately supervise and train its administrative staff to take seriously, address and/or investigate reports of arrests without probable cause and/or legal justification.

Supplemental Claims Against the City of Philadelphia

- 29. Paragraphs 1 through 28 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 30. Defendant, the City of Philadelphia negligently hired, retained and supervised the agents servants and/or workers including the administrative staff and police officer(s) of the Philadelphia Police Department who disregarded the warnings of impending violence to the plaintiff and permitted the arrest without probable cause and/or legal justification in the instant action under the laws of the Commonwealth.
 - 31. As a result of the above actions the Plaintiff suffered the damages as aforesaid.

COUNT THREE

41 U.S.C. Section 1983 Defendant Police Officers

32. Paragraphs 1 through 31 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set

forth at length.

- 33. The actions of the defendant police officers, acting under the color of state law, deprived plaintiffs, privileges and immunities under the laws and Constitution of the United States; in particular, the rights to be secure in his person and property, to be free from arrests without probable cause and/or legal justification and from malicious prosecution, and to due process.
- 34. By these actions, defendants have deprived plaintiff of rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, in violation of 42 U.S.C. section 1983.
 - 35. As a result of the above actions the Plaintiff suffered the damages as aforesaid.

COUNT THREE

41 U.S.C. Section 1983 Defendant Police Officers

- 36. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 33. The acts and conduct of all the defendants alleged in the above stated cause of action constitute false arrest, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, intentional infliction of emotional distress, outrageous conduct, invasion of privacy, negligence, gross negligence, negligent hiring, retention and supervision under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and this Court has jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate said claims.

Wherefore, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- (a) Compensatory damages;
- (b) Punitive damages;
- © Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (d) Interest; and
- (e0 Such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Date: 9/2/15

Michael I. McDermott, Esquire Identification No. 52917 1026 Winter Street -Suite 200 Philadelphia. PA 19107

(215) 925-9732

VERIFICATION

I hereby state that the statements made in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to my best knowledge, information and belief. The undersigned understands that the statements therein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 9. 2. 15

Plaintiff, Durant T. Tisdale

Michael I. McDermott, Esquire ID No. 52917 1026 Winter Street Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 925-9732

Attorney for Plaintiff, Durant T. Tisdale

DURANT T. TISDALE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

VS.

THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

LAW DEPARTMENT

and

POLICE OFFICER TIMOTHY GIBSON

Badge #: 4742

and

POLICE OFFICER DAVID SHERWOOD:

JULY TERM, 2015

Badge #: 714

NO. 000250

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

And now, this 2nd day of September, 2015, I Michael I. McDermott, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff, hereby certify that on this date, I served the within Plaintiff's Complaint upon the following person via electronic filing:

Rebecca Prosper, Esquire Assistant City Solicitor City Of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19104

By: Michael I. Michael I. McDermott, Esquire

Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 2:15-cv-05209-WB Document 1 Filed 09/18/15 Page 17 of 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 4262 N. Franklin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19140 Law Department, 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia, PA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)). Yes No ⊠ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yeş □ No 🗵 RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: _ __ Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No ⊠ Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No ⊠ CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: I. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts **FELA** 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. ☐ Jones Act – Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. 🗆 Marine Personal Injury Patent 5. 5. 🗆 Motor Vehicle personal Injury Labor-Management Relations 6. 🗆 Other Personal Injury (Please specify) \times Civil Rights 7. 7. 🗆 Products Liability ☐ Habeas Corpus 8 8. Products liability - Asbestos Securities Act(s) Cases 9. 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11 All Other Federal Questions Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) Aaron Shotland , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Rule 53.3 A, civil rights cases are excluded from arbitration. □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: 9/17/2015 Aaron Shotland 205916 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. # NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 9/17/2015 205916

Aaron Shotland Esquire Attorney I.D. #

CIV. 609 (4/03) Attorney ar-Law

Durant T. Tisdale

Civil Action

v.

City of Philadelphia, and Police Officer Timothy Gibson, and Police Officer David Sherwood

No.

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone		FAX Number	E-mail Addre	E-mail Address			
`	5) 683-5434	(215) 683-5397	aaron.shotla	aaron.shotland@phila.go			
Date		Attorney-at-law	Attorney for				
<u> </u>	9/17/2015	Aaron Shotland, Esquire	<u>City of Phila., e</u>	<u>t al.</u>	 		
(f)	Standard Management	Cases that do not fall into any one of the	e other tracks.	()	()		
(e)	commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through as complex and that need special or intense e side of this form for a detailed explanation	management by	()		
(d)	 d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. 						
(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2						
(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(a)	Habeas Corpus Case	2255.	()			

(Civ. 660) 10/02